

# Seeing EXCELLENCE

**Learning from Great Procurement Teams**

**Richard Pennington**



HUGO HOUSE PUBLISHERS, LTD.

**Seeing Excellence: Learning from Great Procurement Teams, by Richard Pennington**

Copyright © 2013 by Richard Pennington. All Rights Reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, or by any information storage and retrieval system without written permission of the publisher.

ISBN 978-1-936449-50-7

Library of Congress Control Number

Cover design: NZ Graphics

Interior Design: [www.taylorbydesign.com](http://www.taylorbydesign.com)



Hugo House Publishers

Denver, Colorado

Austin, Texas

[www.HugoHousePublishers.com](http://www.HugoHousePublishers.com)

# Contents

---

<b>Preface</b> . . . . .	<b>ix</b>
<b>Foreword</b> . . . . .	<b>xii</b>
<b>Introduction</b> . . . . .	<b>xv</b>
Story Spotting for Excellence . . . . .	xvi
The Focus of This Book . . . . .	xvi
Develop Your Own Approach to Continuous Improvement . . . . .	xviii

## PART I — EXPAND THE TEAM’S THINKING

<b>CHAPTER 1   Focus the Team’s Purpose</b> . . . . .	<b>1</b>
Purpose, Goals, Objectives, Values and Principles . . . . .	2
Clarifying and Using the Team’s Purpose . . . . .	4
The Contours of Purpose: Practical Constraints on Decision Making . . . . .	11
Some Key Questions about Purpose . . . . .	12
How Will We Know We Have Succeeded? . . . . .	12
<b>CHAPTER 2   Find Opportunities: Practice the Art of the Question</b> . . . . .	<b>13</b>
The Art of the Question . . . . .	15
Summarize Opportunities . . . . .	18
Context Shapes Opportunities: The Challenge of Project Management . . . . .	21
Just Get Started! . . . . .	25
<b>CHAPTER 3   Learn from the Stars</b> . . . . .	<b>27</b>
Find and Learn from Best Practices . . . . .	29
Benchmarking against the “Best in Class” and Yourself . . . . .	33
Use the Stars to Help Illuminate Systems Thinking . . . . .	35
What to Ask the Stars . . . . .	36
Talk to the Stars . . . . .	38
<b>CHAPTER 4   Step to Their Side Often: Help Mold and Promote the Team</b> . . . . .	<b>39</b>
The Right Composition . . . . .	40
Pay Special Attention to Early Meetings . . . . .	42

Nudge Towards Development of Team Norms . . . . . 45  
Team Decisions . . . . . 47  
Support the Team during Performance . . . . . 49  
Pay Attention to Endings and Transitions . . . . . 52  
Choose to Contribute Your Time and Attention . . . . . 54

## PART II — FOCUS THE TEAM'S ATTENTION

**CHAPTER 5 | Use Just Enough Structure: Plan, Communicate, Follow-Up . . . . . 57**  
Clarify the Identity and Needs of Stakeholders . . . . . 58  
Procurement-Planning Checklists . . . . . 61  
Work Backward From the Objective . . . . . 63  
Identify the Dependencies between Activities . . . . . 64  
Assemble the Schedule with Assigned Responsibilities . . . . . 66  
Think Ahead: Communicate, Monitor, and Follow-Up . . . . . 69  
The Triple Constraints and Change. . . . . 71  
Don't Forget the Closing Phase of Projects! . . . . . 72  
Keep an Eye on the Scope . . . . . 73

**CHAPTER 6 | Use Meaningful Measures of Merit . . . . . 75**  
The Purpose of Measurement: Inputs, Outputs, and Outcomes. . . . . 76  
Use a Balanced Approach to Measurement . . . . . 80  
A Note about Surveys . . . . . 82  
Get Stakeholder Buy-in to the Measures . . . . . 86  
Evaluation and Measuring Preferences . . . . . 90  
Make Measurement Meaningful . . . . . 94

**CHAPTER 7 | First Ask How? Then Why? Then Decide . . . . . 95**  
Divergent, Emergent, and Convergent Thinking . . . . . 96  
Understand the Process the Way It Is . . . . . 96  
Ask “Why” At the Right Time to Identify Value and Causes . . . . . 100  
Organize Thinking and Decision Making . . . . . 103  
Document the Recommendation or Decision . . . . . 113  
Use Decision Retrospects . . . . . 117  
It's a Process. . . . . 119

## PART III — SUSTAIN THE TEAM'S EFFORTS

**CHAPTER 8 | Manage Risk and Change . . . . . 123**  
Project Risk and Procurement Risk. . . . . 123  
The COSO Risk Management Framework. . . . . 125  
Procurement and Risk Management Planning . . . . . 126  
*Identify the Risks* . . . . . 127  
*Assess the Risks.* . . . . . 130  
*Risk Response* . . . . . 132

---

<i>Monitoring and Controlling</i> . . . . .	135
Integrate a Change Management Model into Planning . . . . .	136
<i>Create the Change Imperative: Increase Urgency</i> . . . . .	140
<i>Enlist the Guiding Team</i> . . . . .	141
<i>Get the Vision and Strategy Right</i> . . . . .	141
<i>Communicate the Change Vision</i> . . . . .	142
<i>Deploy the Tools of Influence</i> . . . . .	142
<i>Empower Action</i> . . . . .	143
<i>Create Short-Term Wins, Consolidate Gains, and Produce More Wins.</i> . . . . .	143
<i>Keep at It: Build on the Change and Anchor It in the Culture.</i> . . . . .	144
Use Checklists to Build Risk and Change Management into Projects Early. . . . .	145
Plan, Communicate, and Listen . . . . .	148
<b>CHAPTER 9   Keep Learning and Make It Stick!</b> . . . . .	<b>149</b>
Build Review into Projects Early . . . . .	150
Strive for “Stickiness” . . . . .	154
Help Create Tacit Knowledge . . . . .	156
<i>Tacit and Explicit Knowledge</i> . . . . .	156
<i>Barriers to Knowledge Creation</i> . . . . .	157
<i>Models for Learning.</i> . . . . .	158
<i>Foster Conversation and Dialogue</i> . . . . .	159
Use Guided Experience to Learn and Teach . . . . .	163
Find Creative Ways to Share Knowledge, Expertise, Experience . . . . .	172
Keep At It. . . . .	179
<b>CHAPTER 10   Lead Laterally: Choose to Help</b> . . . . .	<b>181</b>
The Dimensions of Leadership. . . . .	182
Help the Team Keep Purpose in Mind . . . . .	184
Use Just Enough Structure to Help Organize Thinking and Action . . . . .	187
Use Questions Effectively to Help the Team Learn . . . . .	192
Help Promote Collaboration and Feedback by Stepping to Their Side Often . . . . .	195
Help the Team Engage by Committing Your Time and Attention . . . . .	200
Most of All, Choose to Help . . . . .	203
<b>Afterword: Creating Excellence</b> . . . . .	<b>207</b>
<b>Endnotes</b> . . . . .	<b>213</b>
<b>References</b> . . . . .	<b>225</b>
<b>Index</b> . . . . .	<b>231</b>
<b>About the Author</b> . . . . .	<b>239</b>

---

## List of Figures

Figure 1-1. Project Charter . . . . .	.7
Figure 2-1. Opportunity Summary . . . . .	21
Figure 5-1. Microsoft Outlook Tasks . . . . .	67
Figure 5-2. Gantt Chart. . . . .	68
Figure 5-3. Project Status Report. . . . .	70
Figure 5-4. DFP RadarSCOPE . . . . .	73
Figure 6-1. Contract Review Times. . . . .	78
Figure 6-2. Customer Satisfaction . . . . .	86
Figure 6-3. Conditional Formatting . . . . .	93
Figure 7-1. Process Map/Flowchart. . . . .	99
Figure 7-2. Ishikawa (Fishbone) Diagram . . . . .	102
Figure 7-3. Prioritization Matrix . . . . .	110
Figure 8-1. Risk Impact-Likelihood Matrix . . . . .	130
Figure 8-2. Force-Field Analysis . . . . .	137
Figure 9-1. Five Ws and a How of Learning . . . . .	159
Figure 9-2. Sound Transit Procurement Activity List . . . . .	170
Figure 10-1. Dimensions of Leadership . . . . .	182
Figure 10-2. Art in a Procurement Manual . . . . .	202

# Introduction

---

*Look around you. Learn from colleagues whose stories demonstrate the essential elements of effective project and quality management.*



Have you ever just opened a procurement manual and looked, really looked, at what was in it? Does anything catch your eye?

Public procurement is one of the most hidden and misunderstood functions in all of government. It operates at all levels of government: federal, state, and local levels. The public procurement function (sometimes called purchasing, acquisition, or government contracting) has a variety of organizational structures. It can be as small as an “additional duty” in a small city, to as large as thousands of people in the Department of Defense.

Somewhere in the middle, many states and larger municipalities have offices with dozens of procurement professionals. There are significant differences between government purchasing and that done by the American public who rarely sees these professionals. The amount of money involved is sometimes staggering. Statutes and rules are enacted to control funds and to promote fairness for industry, which competes for the contracts issued by federal, state, and local governments.

These laws and rules are commonly found in the manuals developed by these offices. They are some of the driest reads imaginable.

However, some procurement officer somewhere in the heart of the United States saw the potential in that most lowly of documents. That person asked what if I put art—literally—in that manual. So she did. The Procurement & Contracts Administrator in Montrose County, Colorado, had some kids enter a drawing contest, she put the best in the manual, and the results that manual produced were staggering.

That’s the essence of “seeing excellence,” in every aspect of the procurement process, and that is the heart of the matter of this book.

## Story Spotting for Excellence

For the past two years, I have been spotting stories that illustrate project excellence. In chapter 10, I tell the Montrose County story about children who participated in a “request for poster” project that taught them about public procurement. They eventually contributed art to the county’s procurement manual, which in turn had county commissioners seeking it out. Who would have thought!

For the most part, the stories I discovered are derived from procurement offices. Those offices are often unsung parts of government, but they, like perhaps no other offices, bridge organizational boundaries.

This book focuses on teams and small group excellence. Even readers who are not practicing public procurement can glean useful ideas from these professionals. If you are involved with groups where members have no formal supervisory or management authority, you are more like public procurement offices than you imagine!

There are several stories told here of groups that are not technically in government procurement offices, but they are familiar to those who are. The California Office of Systems Integration publishes best practices that touch many of the project management, quality management, and organization learning topics in this book. Other stories also resonate: A 501(c)(3) nonprofit organization that counsels companies on government procurement, an accounting team that forged a new way of organizing teamwork, and even a law firm that works in government contracting and helps educate companies about doing business with the government. All these stories have universal lessons.

The stories sharpen the lessons about effective teams, continuous improvement, and project management. I have told the Montrose County story in presentations at annual conferences of NIGP—The Institute for Public Procurement, the National Association of State Procurement Officials (NASPO), the National Procurement Institute (NPI), and the National Contract Management Association (NCMA). That story and others in this book illustrate many lessons, including the overarching theme of how all of us can be lateral leaders in the profession: leaders even though we may not be supervisors or appointed as formal team leaders. Almost all of the stories told here were written after talking to the leaders of those teams or observing first-hand what they have done.

## The Focus of This Book

This book is a compilation of those stories and the lessons I have learned from them. Chip Heath and Dan Heath, co-authors of one of my favorite books *Made to Stick*, talk about the power of storytelling as a way of getting ideas to stick. I hope these stories give you some ideas about how to keep getting better as a team and an organization.

The surprising thing about this project was how well the stories and accomplishments illustrate timeless principles that others have researched and written about. I build on the learning available from the story narratives by providing references to other resources that I have found particularly



---

useful. They provide the schema or conceptual structure for the lessons in these stories. I also identify practical behaviors to get you beyond theory to tools and practices you can use.

Ten years ago, the Colorado Division of Finance and Procurement developed visual metaphors of scopes, such as telescopes and gyroscopes, as a problem-solving approach in the division's Systematic Controls, Oversight, and Policy Evaluation process (SCOPE). I am not in procurement operations any longer, but I've continued to use these scopes as a framework for studying the essential elements of continuous improvement and effective projects. In this book, we see the metaphor in expanding the universe of ideas with the telescope, focusing on the details with the microscope, and sustaining success with the gyroscope.

As this book unfolded, there were expected and unexpected lessons. First, not unexpectedly, teams and collaboration are at the center of all of these stories. Nothing much is accomplished in today's organizations without groups and teams. Collective effort is the key to continuous improvement, managed change, and transformation of procurement offices. Collaborative effort is a key ingredient in activities like competitive procurements. We all work in groups, and a team is a type of workgroup commonly associated with continuous improvement and projects.

Second, while this book is organized generally in the order in which these considerations occur in a project, continuous improvement is not a linear process. You may start with a clear understanding of purpose, as on a procurement project. On the other hand, you may be looking for ideas about how to organize a function such as centralized versus decentralized procurement and want to start talking to people who have done it before. You may want to assemble a team to get a kaleidoscopic variety of perspectives and brainstorm ideas, or you may identify an important opportunity and then assemble a team. However, you are not likely to jump into sophisticated process mapping and other analysis at the beginning. Measurement sometimes is used at the beginning to identify opportunities. At other times, though, measures are used for analysis during the project or even become a "purpose" when a team develops measurement systems.

Still, there are overarching patterns in these stories that led to the book's three parts. Effective teams have a phase in which they expand the team's thinking. The team starts with expansive thinking during formation, eventually pivots to focusing the team's attention, and typically ends with a decision. The team's shared leadership, learning strategies, and risk and change management approaches help sustain the team's efforts. While these elements are not linear, successful teams touch each at some point in their life cycle.

The lessons here boil down to a few key concepts. Along with the indispensability of teams and the need not to be too linear in one's thinking, "focus on purpose" finally cemented itself as the gyroscopic, central concept throughout. Purpose is at the center of deciding who should be on a team. The purpose illuminates how and when project management is integrated into the group norms and how the component tasks are broken down to start making progress. The purpose of a project frames the relevance of measurement, what is measured, and how to approach analysis. The project's purpose also affects how risk management is addressed and whether the project

implicates broader considerations of change management as well as the ultimate decision made or recommended by the team.

Another central concept is the importance of asking good questions. In the early stages of a team's formation, the ability to engage in humble inquiry—a term coined by MIT professor and psychologist Edgar Schein (2009)—is often the touchstone for engagement with stakeholders and customers. Early stages of team formation are fraught with some uncertainty that team leaders help mitigate through facilitative inquiry. “Stepping to their side” also involves the use of questions. This approach helps build trust in a team and permits stakeholders and customers to understand, anticipate, and control some aspects of change.

These themes weave themselves through the book and into the final chapter on lateral leadership. They are also important to a team's effective management of a traditional procurement project or efforts to improve their processes.

## **Develop Your Own Approach to Continuous Improvement**

NASPO, NIGP, and NPI have accreditations or awards for organizational excellence and have established criteria that highlight essential elements of continuous improvement. Their standards value strategic planning, emphasize proper placement of the procurement office in the overall organization, and assess the use of procurement best practices by the agencies. They also consider the use of measurement by the agency, the use of automation, and evidence of continuous improvement. These accreditation and award standards are a good source for the elements of outstanding procurement organizations.

At its core, this book is about getting better as an organization from the team perspective. It looks at improvement in three dimensions. Chapters 1 through 8 examine the managed-change aspects of organization life: defining purpose, finding opportunities, looking for best practices, assembling teams, managing projects, performing analysis, measuring, and managing risk and change. These are commonly considered elements of problem solving, broadly moving organizations through change.

We also look at learning and leadership in the context of small group work. These dimensions have larger scales for bigger organizations, but we will focus on the small group or team. Chapter 9 provides food for thought on how to keep learning as a team and organization. Chapter 10 rounds out the book by looking at lateral leadership, which is particularly relevant to the team environment but also raises important issues for leaders where the scope of the leadership is greater.

For the most part, this book keeps its focus one level deeper than broad organizational performance. It looks at small group practices that illustrate how procurement offices continuously improve. Your office may use tools for identifying opportunities for improvement, mapping out a strategy for getting there, and then assembling a team to get the work done. This book uses stories to show how it is done.

Organizations sometimes have their own approaches to planning, execution, reporting, and improvement. NIGP refers to Total Quality Management (TQM) in its definition of “continuous improvement.” TQM was a well-known quality management system used in the early 1990s. I was first introduced to systemic continuous improvement, what I call *quality management* in this book, through the ideas of Dr. W. Edwards Deming in the early 1990s with the Air Force’s foray into Total Quality Management.

At about the same time, Motorola developed a process known as Six Sigma for improving manufacturing operations, and Six Sigma has been widely adopted in various industries. Japanese approaches to automobile manufacturing became synthesized into *Lean* principles, and before long, a more comprehensive approach to improvement, Lean Six Sigma, began to be used by industry and governments. It integrated the concepts of both Six Sigma and Lean.

The city of Punta Gorda, Florida, used Lean and Six Sigma in a procurement improvement project: looking at its payables process and eventually implementing a procurement card program.

#### Lean Six Sigma in Punta Gorda, Florida

“We are in the improve phase!” That’s how Marian Pace, Procurement Manager for the city of Punta Gorda, Florida, described the status of their city’s Six Sigma project. The Punta Gorda City Manager had hired a business management consultant to train city employees on Lean and Six Sigma principles and to help them select projects. Punta Gorda employees were challenged with finding ways to increase city revenues, improve efficiencies, and reduce costs, waste, and non-value added processes. Projects selected included commercial solid waste and fire department non-emergency services, and Marian saw an opportunity to apply these sophisticated techniques to procurement. In effect, she aligned with the city’s chosen method of improving its operations in order to examine transaction processes and to evaluate the potential for a procurement card program.

Six Sigma is a business-improvement methodology developed by Motorola that uses rigorous processes to systematically eliminate defects and inefficiencies. Along with its cousin “Lean,” it has moved into government.

The term “six sigma” is a statistical concept (sigma signifies the standard deviation) describing near perfection in process performance. The phases of Six Sigma are known as DMAIC: Define, Measure, Analyze, Improve, and Control. Lean principles were an outgrowth of manufacturing approaches used in Japan. Lean emphasizes process flow, waste, and time.

This detailed examination of the process resulted in a better understanding of the city’s payables process and the identification of opportunities for improvement. Eventually, the project led to implementation of a procurement card program to streamline payments. In addition to the significant process efficiencies, Marian’s team estimated that the city would receive between \$49,000 and \$57,000 in rebates under the card program. As will be told in chapters 7 and 8, Marian Pace is a fan of the Lean Six Sigma process!

Both NIGP and NPI place a great deal of importance on having a continuous improvement focus as an element of excellent organizations. Yet they do not promote any particular approach. The city of Fort Wayne, Indiana, was one of the earliest government agencies reported to use Six Sigma.<sup>1</sup> Colorado, Ohio, and Washington reportedly are now implementing Lean.<sup>2</sup> Effective continuous improvement does not require use of any of these models. In 2002, when the Colorado Division of Finance and Procurement was searching for an approach to continuous improvement, Six Sigma training was only just starting nationally. However, it was fairly expensive, and the division opted for a more “common sense” approach that used visual imagery to integrate these steps. As the division director at the time, I felt that we did not have the resources or time necessary to train people on the elements of Six Sigma, then receiving a lot of attention among quality professionals. We had a diverse group of managers and employees: attorneys, accountants, architects, procurement professionals, and debt collectors. I wasn’t sure that Six Sigma’s teaching about manufacturing process statistical variation, or grafting Japanese Lean manufacturing principles into a financial internal services organization, was going to be accepted by our people. So we developed SCOPE, Systematic Controls, Oversight and Policy Evaluation, as an adaptation of other quality management systems. SCOPE was our division’s approach to revising the state’s internal controls policies in a systematic way.

Nor did the Colorado state government use an agency-wide approach like Lean Six Sigma. The state used strategic planning and performance-based budgeting for performance measurement, but it did not have a statewide approach to organizational performance improvement and measurement that was widely taught and accepted.

In the three years that we used SCOPE, we revisited our small purchase procedures, mapped debt collection processes in central collections, and wrote statutory revisions. We also began revisions to the state’s contracting policy and procedures, which first involved looking at contract-approval process measures. We’ll learn more about that project in chapter 6.

Most of the structure to *quality management* comes from quality professionals. The American Society for Quality has a rich history of training and scholarship with regard to various quality management approaches. Perhaps the flagship publication of ASQ, now in its second edition, is Nancy Tague’s *The Quality Handbook* (2005) that contains descriptions of various tools. The book describes, for example, brainstorming, some project management tools like project charter checklists, prioritization matrices, and process flowcharts. Many of the tools—especially the statistical tools—were created for manufacturing operations. But the second edition of *The Quality Handbook* reflects the growth of quality management system use in services and government.

In this book, we distinguish between continuous improvement and other kinds of projects undertaken by procurement teams. The next chapter draws that distinction, for example. The discussion explains how finding opportunities for improvement in procurement office operations is different than overseeing procurement planning on a request for proposal. While project management involving well-defined processes presents opportunities for improvement, there is a difference when compared to more traditional continuous improvement projects like that undertaken by Punta Gorda, Florida.

While we'll keep an eye on project management, the heart of this book is about continuous improvement. Not all projects involve the structured approach of Six Sigma, though. In 2012, the state of Oregon won the NASPO George Cronin Award for Procurement Excellence.<sup>3</sup> The award is based on assessment of a project's innovation, transferability to other states, extent of service improvement, and cost savings and efficiencies. Oregon teaches many lessons in this book, but the 2012 Cronin Award project is an excellent example of how to dive into continuous improvement.

### **Oregon's "Direct Dealership" Fleet Price Agreements**

Oregon, like many other states, had a fleet problem. They spent an inordinate amount of administrative time managing fleet price agreements. The old approach to vehicle model year roll-over and bidding involved countless overtime hours. The procurement and program offices incurred significant legal costs executing almost 100 vehicle price agreements, and they had recurring customer complaints (an estimated 9–14 per day) from state agencies that claimed they were able to "beat" the agreement pricing. During the early evaluation phase of the project, the state learned that it cost approximately \$2,000 per year to manage each price agreement. It took three months just to add a new vehicle to the contract, and it took three employees to manage the large number of statewide contracts.

One of the team's initial steps was to dig deeply into the industry practices and pricing structures. Through significant market research discussions with dealers, manufacturers, and agency fleet users, they learned that the traditional practice of annually bidding fixed vehicle specifications had downsides. The state did not have the flexibility to add new models introduced during the model year without significant administrative costs associated with contract amendments. Even more importantly, prices tended to be highest early in the model year. Prices declined as the model year went on, but the decrease was not reflected in contract rates. Moreover, throughout the course of the year, special pricing packages were available that provided often equivalent functionality at significantly reduced prices. Yet, the standard state price agreement format did not provide the flexibility to take advantage of these special value deals.

When the fleet program and the purchasing office started to discuss changing the contracting approach, they encountered resistance. Yet as the procurement analysts describe it, they benefited from the resistance. They became very data oriented, using: administrative costs, benchmarked costs, and tables showing how prices are broken down among dealers and vehicle models. The program credited the data-driven approach for overcoming the resistance among users.

They freely acknowledged that the program required additional work purchasing vehicles, but there was an offsetting advantage. The contracts included an "evolving technology" clause that permitted ordering of any models carried by a dealer. The solicitation permitted disclosure of pricing elements by individual dealers that enabled more effective negotiation. A key success of the project was a contractual mechanism allowing spot requests for quotations using the manufacturer's "build your own vehicle" websites for vehicle configuration. State fleet buyers could request quotes known as "price verifications" from dealers and take advantage of the most recent favorable pricing.

Oregon's project included clearly articulated goals. They sought to minimize administrative costs and reduce total cost of ownership by implementing largely self-managing, long-term fleet relationships.

*(continued on next page)*

*Oregon's "Direct Dealership" Fleet Price Agreements, cont'd.*

Their published goals emphasized customer focus and communication. Goals included: improvements in collaboration (especially with dealers in economically disadvantaged areas); better access to alternative fuel vehicles (the new approach facilitated purchases of more "green" vehicles); limiting to the extent possible over-specification of vehicles that reduced competition; and using "micro-level competition" that took advantage of favorable pricing available at the time of ordering (including purchasing "off the lot").

Continuous improvement was baked into the new system. The program created a customer "complaint" mechanism for continuous feedback. The purchasing office monitored purchase prices and compared pricing with that available in other states and derived from other market analysis tools. As a result, Oregon's pricing averaged 3% less than that in other states studied by the project. Moreover, other states participated in Oregon's contracts because of its favorable pricing and innovative approach.

The project required continuous analysis of pricing disparities, and how state-purchasing practices affected the overall value proposition. As those familiar with fleet operations know, the pricing structures for vehicles is complex and constantly evolving. Notably, Oregon succeeded in achieving a first: a manufacturer-direct contract that Oregon hopes will encourage other major manufacturers to do the same.

The Oregon project illustrates well the elements of continuous improvement. The project also demonstrates how these elements are nonlinear, in the sense that some are encountered earlier in the project than one might expect. The project team started by asking the question, "How can we improve?" Early on, before other teams might ever think about looking at measurement and data, they realized the value of collecting objective information about pricing and inefficiencies. They also cemented the project direction using clearly articulated goals, highlighted in this book as an early factor key in successful projects. Oregon's project may not have unfolded in the order of chapters in this book, but the elements are all there.

Your organization's approaches may vary. But most importantly, get started using an approach to continuously learning and getting better. Do not let the sophistication of these other models deter action. Starting can be as simple as documenting your processes.<sup>4</sup> Whatever the approach, it will have these elements:

1. Assessment of the current state<sup>5</sup> by periodically reviewing of the "as is" through some engagement with customers and stakeholders and comparison to the "should be" of the system.
2. Collaborative engagement to analyze the reasons for the gap.
3. Identification of barriers to improvement.
4. A plan for making the decisions and implementing the actions necessary to reducing that gap.
5. Development of an approach to monitoring those actions and the results to assess the need for further adjustment or change.

Six Sigma, Lean, Lean Six Sigma, business process engineering, and Total Quality Management are specific continuous improvement models. They may come and go. This book attempts to

identify the underlying skills and strategies that teams and their leaders need that are common to all of these models.

Collaboration, having a clear purpose, and the effective use of questions are central concepts in this book. But at the end of the day, in any project, you will have at least touched on all of the considerations described in this book's chapters. The stories provide context, and the references give you more material for study if you like.

This book ends where the most personal exploration still needs to be done: sustaining our operations through effective organizational learning and cultivating leadership skills. Also called knowledge management, the challenge of organizational learning, for a while, was equated with information technology systems. Now, organizational culture and informal learning are more front and center. And this evolution requires the leader to keep at the process of improvement and learning. This book shows actual teams and their leaders who have achieved excellent results by using tools to connect knowledge to practice.

This book is about stories that illustrate excellence in action. And there was one final lesson that may be obvious to all readers. Building effective teams takes time and patience, as well as leadership contributions from everyone. We hope that this book may give you some ideas about how you can help your team succeed.

# Index

---

- Adaptive leadership, 35, 183
- Adult learning principles, 161–72, 178
- After-action reviews. See Learning
- American Society for Quality, 33
- Appreciative Inquiry, 16–18
  - Longmont, Colorado, 17–18
- Argyris, Chris, 33
- Arizona State University PBSRG, 24
- Arizona’s ProcureAZ, 138–44, 150, 154, 186, 193–94, 200
- Art in a Procurement Manual, xv, xvi, 201–3, 204–5
  
- Bayesian methods, 112
- Bellman, Geoffrey, 4, 54, 184n10, 187
- Benchmarking, 28, 33–35
- Block, Peter, 15
- Brainstorming, 3, 8–9, 23, 96, 128, 136
- Burns, James MacGregor, 182–83
  
- California Office of Systems Integration, 4, 30–32, 58, 131, 188, 204
- Capability Maturity Model Integrated, 22
- Catalytic leadership, 183
- Change management, 136–45
  - Arizona’s ProcureAZ, 138–44
  - checklists, value of, 145–48
  - communication, 142
  - empower action, 143, 209
  - force-field analysis, 136–37
  - influence and persuasion, 142, 193–95
  - Kotter’s model, 136, 140–45
  - Nudge* (Thaler and Sunstein), 193–95, 210
  - phasing, 139, 143
  - project management change, 71–72
  - removing barriers, 140, 143
  - short-term wins, 139, 143–44
  - sustaining change, 144–45
  - team, the right one, 141
  - urgency, 138, 140
  - vision, 141
- Checklists, 61–63, 128, 145–47
- Cialdini, Robert, 193–94
- Colorado
  - contracting reengineering, 77–79, 145–46
  - Denver school district, Colorado, outsourcing, 34
  - Division of Finance and Procurement, xvii, xx, 34, 37, 73, 85, 175
  - Field Accounting Services self-directed team, 53, 58, 201
  - peer-review program, 175–76
  - procurement training plan, 166–68
  - Public Procurement Card (P-Card) Group, 45–46, 189
  - WSCA e-procurement local government focus group, 19
- Colorado Procurement Technical Assistance Center, 185–86
- Committee of Sponsoring Organizations (COSO), 125–26
- Communication
  - change management, 142, 148
  - communities of practice, 30, 160–61
  - direction of, 60
  - fear and learning, 157



- negotiation, 189–91
- project management, 59–60, 69–71, 116
- teams, 51–52
- Competence, 187
- Conflict, 40, 44, 51, 53, 197–99
- Continuous improvement, xvii–xxii
- Covey, Stephen, 2
- Creativity, 45–46, 57, 96, 128, 187–88, 201–3, 208–10
- Customers, xviii–xxii, 13–18, 59, 80, 209–10
- Dallas Area Rapid Transit, Texas, 81–82
- Davis, James and Adelaide Davis, 158
- Decision making, 103–19
  - Bayesian methods, 112
  - biases and errors, 91, 93–94, 105–7
  - complex decision checklist, 110–11
  - constraints, 11, 62, 71, 105, 172
  - convergent thinking, 8, 96
  - documenting, 113–17
  - impact-effort charts, 47
  - four-quadrant matrix, 47, 130
  - generally, 103–19
  - matrix decision making, 109–10
  - multi-voting, 47–49
  - pros and cons, 107–8
  - Punta Gorda’s prioritization, 108–9
  - reasoning, testing of, 104, 117
  - retrospects, 117–19, 151–53
  - team decisions, 47–49
  - technology tools, 91–93, 112
  - uncertainty, 112–13
- Deming, W. Edwards, xix, 2, 22, 75
- Effectiveness and efficiency, 3, 77
- Empathy, 193–96, 195n33, 198n51, 199
- Facilitation, 44, 178
- Fisher, Roger, 15, 45, 103, 150, 181–84, 188–204
  - passim
- Fort Wayne, Indiana, xx, 14
- Four-quadrant matrix, 14, 130
- Franklin, Benjamin, 107–8
- Gawande, Atul, 146–47
- Georgia procurement transformation, 137–138
- Gladwell, Malcolm, 156
- Hackman, J. Richard, 4–5, 51, 157, 181, 197n37
- Heath, Chip and Dan Heath, xvi, 108, 118, 129, 136, 138–43 passim, 154–155, 189, 193–94, 210
- Heifetz, Ronald, 2, 35, 183, 198n51
- Hubbard, Douglas, 91–94 passim
- Idaho’s Performance Information Procurement System, 24–25, 111–12
- Indiana’s K12Indiana project, 144
- Influence and persuasion, 142, 193–195
- Internal controls, 3, 36–37, 80, 96, 101, 125, 135
  - Sub-optimization, 36
- Katzenbach, Jon, 198
- Klein, Gary, 129, 153
- Knowledge management. See Learning
- Kotter, John, 2, 136, 140–145, 183n7
- Kouzes, James and Barry Posner, 2, 183, 192, 200
- Leadership, 181–205
  - adaptive (Heifetz), 35, 183
  - catalytic (Luke), 183, 187n15, 204
  - competence, 187
  - conflict management, 40, 44, 51, 53, 197–99
  - creativity, 187–88, 201–3
  - delegation, 200
  - empathy, 193–96, 195n33, 198n51
  - empathy and bosses, 199
  - engagement, 200–203
  - exemplary (Kouzes and Posner), 2, 183, 192
  - influence and persuasion, 193–95
  - inquiry, 15–17, 44, 192–95
  - lateral leadership (Fisher and Sharp), 39, 45, 52, 181–205
  - leader contributions, 200–201
  - learning, 180, 192–93
  - practice the art of the question, 15, 192–3, 196
  - structure, just enough, 187–89
  - time and attention, 200–203
  - transactional, 182–184 (Burns), 183n7 (Kotter)
  - transformational (Burns), 182–183
- Lean and Lean Six Sigma, xix, 22, 97
- Learning, 149–180

- 
- adult-learning principles, 161–172, 178
  - after-action reviews, 54, 73, 151–153
  - assessment, 165, 169
  - barriers to, 157–58
  - community of practice, 160–61
  - conversation, 159–60, 171, 174–75, 177
  - co-presentations, 172, 175
  - e-learning, 91, 161–62
  - experiential, 165–67
  - guided experience, 163–66, 171–172
  - models, 158–159
  - NASPO Cronin Award webinars, 161, 173
  - NASPO Network Community, 160–61
  - NIGP instructor training program, 164–65
  - NIGP Nsite discussion community, 29, 160–61
  - open space technology, 177n51
  - peer reviews, 175–77
  - peer-to-peer training, 166–70
  - pilots, use of, 29, 31, 90, 105, 109, 143–44, 154, 194
  - planning, 165, 168–171
  - program reviews and audits, 137, 150–54, 175–76
  - Purchasing Gone Wild!*, 154–55
  - repetition, 156
  - retrospects, 175–76
  - simulations, 158, 175
  - software retrospects, 175–177
  - stickiness, 144, 149, 154–56
  - stories, xiv, 149, 154–56
  - succession or transition planning, 171–72
  - tacit and explicit knowledge, 156–57, 171–72
  - tacit knowledge and systems, 36
  - training plans, 166–68
  - world café, 177, 177n51
  - Leonard, Dorothy and Walter Swap, 166
  - Longmont, Colorado, 17–18
  - Luke, Jeffrey, 183, 187n15, 204
    - catalytic leadership, 183
  - McCue, Clifford, 14, 34
  - McKenna Long & Aldridge LLP procurement update, xi, 65–66, 73, 124, 130, 145, 153
  - Measurement, xx, 75–94
    - balanced scorecard, 81
    - benchmarking, 28, 33–35
    - central limit theorem, 83
    - contract process reengineering, 77–78
    - correlation and causation, 84, 106
    - cost savings and avoidance, 87–90
    - decision errors and bias, 93–94, 136
    - definition, 76
    - Deming, W. Edwards, 75
    - distribution, 83, 85–86
    - input measure, 76–79, 82
    - leading and lagging indicators, 79
    - Likert scale survey, 84
    - mean, medium, and mode, 85–86
    - NASPO whitepaper on cost savings, 87
    - NIGP *Observatory and Measure*, 79
    - outcome measure, 76–79
    - output measure, 76–79
    - preferences, measure of, 90–94
    - process measures, 76–79
    - stakeholder buy-in, 86–90
    - surveys, 83–86
    - variation, 84–86
  - Meetings
    - after-action reviews, 54, 73, 151
    - checklist, 49–50
    - early team meetings, 42, 44
    - types, 43, 49–50
  - Miami-Dade County, Florida, 87–88, 187
  - Montrose County, Colorado, xv, xvi, 201–203, 208
  - Multnomah County, Oregon, 161–62
  - National Association of State Procurement Officials (NASPO), viii
    - cost savings whitepaper, 87
    - Cronin Award, xxi, 28–29, 173–74
    - NASPO network community, 160–61
    - procurement barriers whitepaper, 20–21, 48–49
    - Western States Contracting Alliance (WSCA), xi, 18–19, 41–42
    - WSCA-NASPO Cooperative Purchasing Organization, 18, 19n2, 41
  - National Procurement Institute (NPI), viii, 28
    - award program, xvi, xvii, 28–29
  - Negotiation, 106, 189–192
  - NIGP—The Institute for Public Procurement, viii,
    - accreditation program (OA4), xviii, xx, 27–29
-

- discussion communities, 160–161
- instructor development “speed learning” café, 177
- instructor training program, 164–165
- Pareto award, 28, 82, 207
- principles and practices, 34, 123
  - Observatory* and *Measure* tools, 79
- Nonaka, Ikujiro, 157, 159
- North Dakota risk management, 133–35
  
- Ohio multifunctional copier project, ix–x, 13–14, 29, 90, 154
- Oklahoma brown-bag training, 174–75
- Oregon
  - disaster preparedness workgroup, 5–8, 60, 164, 175, 184, 186
  - vehicle fleet price agreements, xxi–xxii
  - WSCA lodging project, 40–41, 193
  
- Parker, Glen, 52
- Persuasion and influence, 193–95
- Petty, Dugan, xii–xiv
- Pilot projects, 29, 31, 90, 105, 109, 143–44, 154, 194
- Pink, Daniel, 194
- Pitzer, Jack, x, 14, 34
- Port St. Lucie, Florida, 10–11, 57, 60, 125, 150–51, 186, 192
- Pre-mortems and pre-parades, 128–29
- Price, Dan, viii, 57, 59, 69
- Process efficiency, 78
- Procurement practices
  - award memoranda, 113–14
  - California Office of Systems Integration, 31
  - contract management, 31, 132–33
  - cost savings and avoidance measurement, 87–90, 87n18
  - e-procurement projects, 60, 114–15, 118–119, 138–44
  - evaluation, 90–94
  - fleet price agreements, xxi–xxii
  - liability allocation clauses, 133–35
  - negotiation, 88–89, 106, 189–92
  - option analysis, 88–89
  - procurement activity list, 169–71
  - procurement planning, 2, 9, 22, 61–63, 68, 72, 127, 132–33
  - project management body of knowledge, 22, 58, 126
  - purchasing agent training plan, 166–69
  - risk, procurements and, 126, 132–34
  - work gloves story, 140–41, 154
- Project management, 22–24, 57–74
  - Agile software development, 23, 72
  - California Office of Systems Integration, 30–32
  - change, 71–72
  - charter contents, 60–61
  - closing phases, 72–73
  - communication, 22, 58, 126
  - continuous improvement, differences, 21–24
  - core steps, 64
  - ending a project, 114–15
  - Extreme software development, 23, 72
  - Gantt chart, 68
  - PMBOK®, 22, 58, 126
  - procurement planning, 61–63, 68, 71–72
  - project managers, 22–24
  - quality management relevance, 23
  - risk management, 123–24, 126, 133, 135
  - schedule, 64–68
  - schedule tools, 67–68
  - stakeholder analysis, 58–61
  - status reports, 69–71
  - triple constraints, 71–72
- Project Management Body of Knowledge, 22, 58, 126
- Punta Gorda, Florida, xix–xx, 96–99, 101–103, 108–109, 136–137
- Purpose, 1–12
  - effectiveness and efficiency, 3
  - goals and objectives, 3
  - principles, 3–4
  - values, 3
  
- Quality management
  - benchmarking, 33–34
  - Capability Maturity Model Integrated, 22
  - continuous improvement, xviii–xxii
  - customers, xviii–xxii, 13–18, 59, 80, 209–10
  - genba, 210
  - general elements, xxii
  - International Standards Organization (ISO) 9000, 22n15

- 
- Ishikawa (fishbone) diagrams, 102–103  
 Lean and Lean Six Sigma, xix, 14, 22, 97  
 process efficiency, 78  
 Six Sigma, xix–xx  
 Total Quality Management, xix, xxii, 2, 13, 75  
 Questions and inquiry, 15–17, 44, 192–95  
 appreciative inquiry, 16–18  
 customer questions, 16  
 effective questions, 15–16  
 humble inquiry (Schein), 15, 196
- Rees, Fran, 44  
 Risk Management, 123–135  
   California Office of Systems Integration, 31  
   checklists, value of, 128, 145–47  
   Committee of Sponsoring Organizations (COSO), 125  
   contract terms and conditions, 124, 132–34  
   evaluation of proposal risk, 132–33  
   event planning, 124–25, 131  
   four-quadrant risk matrix, 130–31  
   North Dakota's risk-management approach, 133–34  
   PMBOK®, risk management in, 126  
   pre-mortems and pre-parades, 129  
   procurement planning and, 123–124, 126–27, 132–33  
   risk assessment, 130–32  
   risk response, 132  
   risk identification, 127–29  
   *Risk Management in Public Contracting*, 123  
   risk monitoring and controlling, 135  
 Rockland County, New York 87, 89–90, 187  
 Roth, David Lee of Van Halen, 147  
 Ryan, Kathleen, 4, 54, 184n10, 187
- Schein, Edgar, xviii, 15, 196  
 Senge, Peter, 35–36  
 Sharp, Alan, 15, 45, 103, 150, 181–84, 192–204  
   passim  
 Six Sigma, xix–xx  
 Smith, Douglas, 198  
 Sound Transit, Washington, 29, 33, 82, 169–70, 207–10  
 Stakeholders. *See* Project Management  
 Status reports, 69–71
- Stolovitch, Harold and Erica Keeps, 161, 164, 166  
 Stories  
   accreditation and awards by NIGP, NASPO, and NPI, 28–29  
   Arizona's ProcureAZ, 138–44  
   California Office of Systems Integration, 30–32  
   Colorado contracting reengineering, 77–78, 145–46  
   Colorado Field Accounting Services self-directed team, 53, 58  
   Colorado e-procurement local government focus group, 19  
   Colorado peer reviews, 175–76  
   Colorado Public P-Card Group, 45–46  
   Colorado Procurement Technical Assistance Center, 185–86  
   Colorado state procurement training plan, 166–168  
   Dallas Area Rapid Transit balanced scorecard, 81–82  
   Georgia procurement transformation, 137–38  
   Idaho performance information procurement system, 24–25, 111  
   K12Indiana project, 144  
   Longmont, Colorado, appreciative inquiry, 17–18  
   McKenna Long & Aldridge LLP procurement update, 65–66, 124  
   Miami-Dade County cost savings measures, 87–88  
   Montrose County, Colorado, art in the procurement manual, 201–3  
   Multnomah County, Oregon, computer-based learning, 161–62  
   NASPO network community, 160–61  
   NASPO procurement barriers workgroup (mock lobbying), 20–22, 48–49  
   NIGP, NPI, and NASPO awards and accreditation, 28–29  
   NIGP Nsite discussion community, 30, 160–61  
   NIGP instructor training program, 164–65  
   NIGP speed-learning café, 177  
   North Dakota risk-management approach, 134  
   Ohio multifunctional copier project, 13–14  
   Oklahoma brown bag training, 174–75
-

- Oregon “direct dealership” fleet price agreements, xxi–xxii
- Oregon disaster-preparedness workgroup, 5–8
- Oregon WSCA lodging project, 40–41
- Port St. Lucie, Florida, neighborhood–stabilization grant, 10–11, 150
- Punta Gorda, Florida, Lean Six Sigma, xix–xx, 96–99, 101–3, 108–9, 136–37
- Purchasing Gone Wild!*, 154–55
- Rockland County, New York, cost savings measures, 89–90
- Sound Transit, Washington, creativity, 207–10
- Thornton, Colorado, accreditation, 27
- Virginia solution to a graying workforce, 178–79
- Washington YouTube training, 173–74
- Sub-optimization (internal controls), 36
- Sunstein, Cass, 193, 209
- Systems thinking, 35–36
  - compensating feedback, 36
  - sub-optimization, 36
  - unintended consequences, 36
- Tacit knowledge, 156–57
- Tague, Nancy, xviii, 14, 16, 99–102, 136
- Teams, 39–54
  - advantage of prior team history, 42
  - after-action reviews, 54, 73, 151–53
  - brainstorming, 8–9, 64, 108–9
  - cascading messaging, 51
  - coaching, 47, 51, 197n37
  - criticism and conflict, 40, 44, 51, 53, 197–99
  - decisions, 47–49, 105–9
  - directive and facilitative leadership, 44
  - early team meetings, 42–44
  - endings and transitions, 52–54
  - facilitation, 44
  - feedback, 45, 53
  - forming, storming, norming, performing, adjourning 39, 45–46, 52
  - great groups, 184n10
  - groupthink, 42, 52, 184
  - icebreakers, 43
  - lateral leadership, 39, 45, 52, 181–205
  - meeting checklist, 49–50
  - meetings, 49–50, 50n15
  - negotiation, 190–91
  - norms, 45–47
  - performance phase, 49–52
  - phases and life cycle, 39–40
  - recognition and praise, 52
  - self-directed teams, 53–54
  - size, 40
  - skills of members, 40, 52
  - stepping out of meetings, 50n15
  - structure, too much, 45–46, 187–89
  - stuck teams, 51
  - Tuckman’s model, 39, 44–46, 52
  - work allocation, 47, 200–201
- Thaler, Richard, 193, 209
- Thornton, Colorado, 27
- Tools
  - after-action reviews, 129, 151–153
  - brainstorming, 8–9
  - conditional formatting, 92–93
  - customer questions, 16
  - decision checklists, 111, 117
  - decision retrospects, 117–18
  - effective meeting checklist, 49–50
  - facilitation, 44
  - flow charts, 68
  - force-field analysis, 136–37
  - four-quadrant matrix, 130
  - Gantt charts, 68
  - Ishikawa (fishbone) diagrams, 102–3
  - meeting checklist, 49–50
  - Microsoft Outlook task function, 66–67
  - multi-voting, 48–49
  - opportunity summaries, 18–21
  - pre-mortem and pre-parade, 129
  - prioritization matrix, 108–10
  - process mapping, 99–101
  - procurement-planning checklist, 61–63
  - pros and cons decisions, 107–8
  - purpose checklist, 12
  - retrospects, 117–19, 151–53
  - staff recommendation checklist (ABOARD), 116–17
  - status report, 70
  - survey design checklist, 84–85
  - training, 5 why’s and a how, 159
  - value added analysis, 101
  - why-why (root cause) analysis, 102–3

Total Quality Management, xix, xxii, 2, 13, 75

Trautman, Steve, 158, 169, 171

Tuckman, Bruce, 39, 44, 52

Ullman, David, 91, 107, 110, 112, 113

Ury, William, 39, 189–91, 198

Van Halen, 147

Virginia Institute of Procurement, 178–79

Washington YouTube training, 173–74

World café, 177, 177n51

Wright, Elizabeth, 123, 126, 128